

THE DIFFERENTIAL BRAUER GROUP

RAYMOND T. HOOBLER

ABSTRACT. Let A be a ring equipped with a derivation δ . We study differential Azumaya A algebras, that is, Azumaya A algebras equipped with a derivation that extends δ . We calculate the differential automorphism group of the trivial differential algebra, $M_n(A)$ with coordinatewise differentiation. We introduce the δ -flat Grothendieck topology to show that any differential Azumaya A algebra is locally isomorphic to a trivial one and then construct, as in the non-differential setting, the embedding of the differential Brauer group into $H^2(A_{\delta-pl}, G_{m,\delta})$. We conclude by showing that the differential Brauer group coincides with the usual Brauer group in the affine setting.

We wish to complete and extend the study of differential central separable algebras that was begun by Juan and Magid [3]. First we want to extend their material from differential fields to differential rings, proceeding in the obvious manner, by defining differential Azumaya algebras. Then we define the differential Brauer group, Br_δ , by using the usual definition and applying it to the category of differential projective modules. Note that this does not agree with the definition in [3] where the equivalence relation uses only vector spaces over the differential field, not differential vector spaces. Finally we will give a cohomological characterization of Br_δ which can be easily compared with the cohomological characterization of the usual Brauer group of a ring.

In order to carry out this program, we will prove a differential Morita theorem and use it to identify differential automorphisms of a differential Azumaya algebra. Then we introduce a new Grothendieck topology, the δ -flat, topology which allows us to solve differential equations locally and then we use hypercoverings to construct a differential boundary map for a central extension of sheaves. This allows us to embed $Br_\delta(A)$ into $H^2(A_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta})$. This part is a straightforward extension to the differential category of the basic results for the Brauer group of a commutative ring. Our main result, surprisingly enough, is $Br_\delta(A) = Br(A)$ for a differential ring A .

We fix a commutative differential ring (A, δ) throughout which, for simplicity, we assume to be connected for the main result. Tensor products are always over A unless otherwise indicated. We use δ to indicate the derivation and leave the reader to identify where it is acting. In a similar vein we use a subscript δ to indicate that we are operating in a differential setting and a superscript δ to indicate that we are considering differential invariants. We require that all differential rings contain $\mathbb{Q}$. What happens for more general commutative differential rings, particularly in the unequal characteristic case, remains an interesting open question.

1. DIFFERENTIAL BRAUER GROUP

Let $Proj_\delta(A)$ denotes the set of differential A modules that are finitely generated, faithful, projective A modules, $Az_\delta(A)$ denotes the set of pairs (Λ, δ) such

that Λ is an Azumaya A algebra equipped with a derivation δ making Λ into a differential A algebra. Let $Az_{n,\delta}(A) \subset Az_\delta(A)$ be those differential Azumaya algebras Λ with $\text{rank}(\Lambda) = n^2$, and similarly let $\text{Proj}_{n,\delta}(A) \subset \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$ be those finitely generated projective differential A modules P with $\text{rank}(P) = n$.

If $P, Q \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$, $P \otimes Q \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$ by defining $\delta(p \otimes q) := \delta p \otimes q + p \otimes \delta q$. The A dual, $P^\vee = \text{Hom}_A(P, A)$, also has a derivation defined by setting $(\delta f)(p) = \delta(f(p)) - f(\delta p)$. The isomorphism

$$Q \otimes P^\vee \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Hom}_A(P, Q)$$

given by $\alpha(q \otimes f)(p) = qf(p)$ makes $\text{Hom}_A(P, Q) \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$ for $P, Q \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$ by defining $\delta(\alpha(q \otimes f)) = \alpha(q \otimes (\delta f) + (\delta q) \otimes f)$. This leads to the formula

$$\delta(\alpha(q \otimes f))(p) = q(\delta(f(p)) - f(\delta p)) + (\delta q)f(p)$$

that makes $\text{Hom}_A(P, Q)$ into a differential A module. We introduce the notation $\text{Hom}_A(P, Q)_\delta$ to indicate the module $\text{Hom}_A(P, Q)$ equipped with its derivation. Note that if $P, Q, P', Q' \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$, then a straightforward but tedious calculation shows that the A module isomorphism

$$\phi : \text{Hom}_A(P, Q) \otimes \text{Hom}_A(P', Q') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P \otimes P', Q \otimes Q')$$

defined by $\phi(f \otimes f')(p \otimes p') = f(p) \otimes f'(p')$ is a differential A module isomorphism.

Once this framework is set up, we can translate the definitions required to define $Br(A)$ into the differential setting.

Definition 1. Let $\Lambda, \Gamma \in Az_\delta(A)$. $\Lambda \sim_\delta \Gamma$ if there are $P, Q \in \text{Proj}_\delta(A)$ and a differential A algebra isomorphism

$$\Lambda \otimes \text{End}_A(P) \cong_\delta \Gamma \otimes \text{End}_A(Q).$$

Lemma 1. $\sim_\delta$ is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Clear once the above tedious calculation is done. $\square$

We introduce the notation $[\Lambda]$ for the equivalence class of Λ and use the same notation in the non-differential case.

Definition 2. $Br_\delta(A) = \{[\Lambda] \mid \Lambda \in Az_\delta(A)\}$

Lemma 2. (1) If $\Lambda, \Gamma, \Lambda', \Gamma' \in Az_\delta(A)$, $\Lambda \sim_\delta \Lambda'$, and $\Gamma \sim_\delta \Gamma'$, then $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma \sim_\delta \Lambda' \otimes \Gamma'$.

(2) If $\Lambda \in Az_\delta(A)$, then the algebra isomorphism $\rho : \Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}_A(\Lambda)$ given by $\rho(\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2)(\lambda) = \lambda_1 \lambda \lambda_2$ is a differential isomorphism.

Proof. The first assertion is simply a rearrangement of factors in the tensor product and so is immediately verified. The second statement requires a rather nasty, but typical, calculation, but first we must identify how ρ acts in terms of $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^\vee$. Since α is an isomorphism, there are elements $\lambda_\beta \in \Lambda$ and $f_\beta \in \Lambda^\vee$ such that

$$\alpha\left(\sum \lambda_\beta \otimes f_\beta\right) = 1_{\text{End}(\Lambda)}$$

and so

$$\rho(\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2^{op}) = \alpha\left(\sum \lambda_1 \lambda_\beta \lambda_2 \otimes f_\beta\right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\delta\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2^{op} + \lambda_1 \otimes (\delta\lambda_2)^{op})(\lambda) &= (\delta\lambda_1)\lambda\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda(\delta\lambda_2) \text{ and} \\
(\delta\rho(\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2^{op}))(\lambda) &= \alpha\left(\sum(\delta(\lambda_1\lambda_\beta\lambda_2)) \otimes f_\beta + \lambda_1\lambda_\beta\lambda_2 \otimes (\delta f_\beta)\right)(\lambda) \\
&= \sum(\delta\lambda_1)\lambda_\beta\lambda_2 f_\beta(\lambda) + \sum\lambda_1(\delta\lambda_\beta)\lambda_2 f_\beta(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum\lambda_1\lambda_\beta(\delta\lambda_2)f_\beta(\lambda) + \sum\lambda_1\lambda_\beta\lambda_2(\delta f_\beta)(\lambda) \\
&= (\delta\lambda_1)\lambda\lambda_2 + \lambda_1\lambda(\delta\lambda_2) + \lambda_1\left[\sum(\delta\lambda_\beta)f_\beta(\lambda) + \lambda_\beta(\delta f_\beta)(\lambda)\right]\lambda_2.
\end{aligned}$$

But $\alpha(\sum\delta(\lambda_\beta \otimes f_\beta)) = 0 = \alpha(\sum(\delta\lambda_\beta) \otimes f_\beta + \lambda_\beta \otimes (\delta f_\beta))$ and so

$$\lambda_1\left[\sum(\delta\lambda_\beta)f_\beta(\lambda) + \lambda_\beta(\delta f_\beta)(\lambda)\right]\lambda_2 = 0$$

also. $\square$

Corollary 1. *$Br_\delta(A)$ is an abelian group if multiplication is defined as $[\Lambda] \cdot [\Gamma] = [\Lambda \otimes \Gamma]$ and $[\Lambda]^{-1} = [\Lambda^{op}]$.*

Note that there is an obvious map

$$\iota : Br_\delta(A) \rightarrow Br(A)$$

given by forgetting the differential structure on a differential Azumaya algebra.

2. DIFFERENTIAL MORITA THEORY

Most information about the Brauer group of a ring is obtained by identifying it with a second cohomology group. This requires some descent theory and an understanding of automorphisms of an Azumaya algebra. The best way of developing this understanding comes from the Morita theorems. In this section we extend this theory to the differential setting by showing that the isomorphisms involved are differential isomorphisms in our setting.

We adopt the notation in ([4, Chapter 1, 9.1]) which means altering our notation slightly in this section. We fix a differential commutative ring R and assume that A is a not necessarily commutative differential R -algebra. Let P be a differential right A module, and let $B = End_A(P)_\delta$ be the differential endomorphism ring of P . The action of B on P defines the structure of a $B - Mod - A$ bimodule on P . The A dual, $Q = Hom_A(P, A)_\delta$, is then an $A - Mod - B$ bimodule. The module structures have been set up to show actions via associativity. Furthermore letters p and q with adornments always indicate elements of P or Q and (qp) denotes the value of the functional q on p . Thus associativity of parentheses indicate the various actions. For instance, Q is an $A - B$ bimodule via $(aqb)(p) = a \cdot q(bp)$. P and Q are related by two maps

$$\begin{aligned}
f_P &: P \otimes_A Q \rightarrow B \text{ where } f_P(p \otimes q)(x) = p \cdot (q(x)) \text{ and} \\
g_P &: Q \otimes_B P \rightarrow A \text{ where } g_P(q \otimes p) = q(p).
\end{aligned}$$

f_P is a homomorphism of B bimodules, and g_P is a homomorphism of A bimodules. They are associative in the sense that if we write $f_P(p \otimes q) = pq$ and $g_P(q \otimes p) = qp$, then $(pq) \cdot p' = p \cdot (qp')$ and $(qp) \cdot q' = q \cdot (pq')$. In the following $\cdot$ is used to indicate action by an element of A or B on P or Q .

We recall that P is a finitely generated projective A module if and only if f_P is an isomorphism, and P is a faithful projective A module if and only if g_P is an isomorphism ([4]).

Assume that P is a finitely generated faithful differential projective right A module. Thus Q becomes a differential left A module by defining $(\delta q)(p) = \delta(qp) - q(\delta p)$, $P \otimes_A Q$ becomes a differential left B module by defining $\delta(p \otimes q) := \delta p \otimes q + p \otimes \delta q$ or, in the shorthand notation, $\delta(pq) = (\delta p)q + p(\delta q)$, and we also have the useful identity $\delta(qp) = (\delta q)p + q(\delta p)$ (Calculate!).

The identities

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(pq) &= (\delta p)q + p(\delta q) \text{ and } \delta(qp) = (\delta q)p + q(\delta p) \text{ together with} \\ (pq) \cdot p' &= p \cdot (qp') \text{ and } (qp) \cdot q' = q \cdot (pq') \end{aligned}$$

make differentiation computations easy. Thus we make B into a differential ring by defining

$$\delta(pq)(p') = (\delta p) \cdot (qp') + p \cdot ((\delta q)(p')) = (\delta p) \cdot (qp') + p \cdot \delta(qp') - p \cdot (q(\delta p')).$$

In order to verify that this is a derivation of B , we observe that with the identification using g_P , we have $(pq)(p'q') = p(qp') \cdot q' = p \cdot (qp')q'$ since $(pq)(p'q')(p'') = (pq)(p' \cdot (q'p'')) = p \cdot ((qp') \cdot (q'p'')) = (p \cdot (qp')) \cdot (q'p'')$. This makes checking that B is a differential ring straightforward.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((pq)(p'q'))(p'') &= \delta((p \cdot (qp'))q')(p'') = \delta(p \cdot (qp')) \cdot (q'p'') + \\ &\quad (p \cdot (qp')) \cdot \delta(q'p'') - p \cdot (qp') \cdot (q'\delta p'') \\ &= (\delta p) \cdot (qp') \cdot (q'p'') + p(\delta(qp')) \cdot (q'p'') + (p \cdot (qp'))[(\delta q')p'' + q'(\delta p'')] \\ &\quad - p \cdot (qp') \cdot (q'\delta p'') \\ &= (\delta p) \cdot (qp') \cdot (q'p'') + p(\delta(qp')) \cdot (q'p'') + (p \cdot (qp'))(\delta q')p'' \\ &= (\delta p) \cdot (qp') \cdot (q'p'') + p(\delta(q)p' + q\delta(p')) \cdot (q'p'') + (p \cdot (qp'))(\delta q')p'' \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta(pq)(p'q') + (pq)\delta(p'q'))(p'') &= \delta(pq)(p' \cdot q'p'') + (pq)[(\delta p') \cdot (q'p'') + p' \cdot ((\delta q')(p''))] \\ &= (\delta p) \cdot (q(p' \cdot q'p'')) + p \cdot ((\delta q)(p' \cdot q'p'')) + \\ &\quad (pq) \cdot ((\delta p') \cdot (q'p'')) + (pq) \cdot p' \cdot ((\delta q')(p'')). \end{aligned}$$

The associative identities allow us to finish the argument.

Moreover similar identities show that both f_P and g_P become differential bimodule isomorphisms. This requires checking that the differentiation with respect to B satisfy the Leibniz rule. This follows by using the associative rules which allow us to switch sides and the A derivations for P and Q . Thus, for P ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((pq) \cdot p') &= \delta(p \cdot (qp')) = (\delta p) \cdot (qp') + p \cdot ((\delta q)p' + q(\delta p')) \\ &= ((\delta p)q) \cdot p' + (p(\delta q)) \cdot p' + (pq) \cdot (\delta p') = \delta(pq) \cdot p' + (pq) \cdot \delta p' \end{aligned}$$

and, for Q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(q \cdot (p'q')) &= \delta((qp') \cdot q') = [(\delta(q)p') + (q\delta p')] \cdot q' + (qp') \cdot \delta q' \\ &= \delta q \cdot (p'q') + q \cdot \delta(p'q'). \end{aligned}$$

Once we have verified these basic operations, we know from the standard Morita theory that the functors below are isomorphisms between categories. Since the

maps are differential maps, we conclude that the functors are isomorphisms of categories of differential modules. We summarize this as a differential Morita equivalence.

Theorem 1 (Differential Morita Theorem). *Let A be a ring with a derivation δ . Let P be a faithful projective differential right A module, $Q = \text{Hom}_A(P, A)_\delta$, and $B = \text{End}_A(P)_\delta$. Then*

(1) *The functors*

$$\begin{aligned} P \otimes_A - & : (A, \delta) - \text{Mod} \rightarrow (B, \delta) - \text{Mod} \\ - \otimes_B P & : \text{Mod} - (B, \delta) \rightarrow \text{Mod} - (A, \delta) \\ - \otimes_A Q & : \text{Mod} - (A, \delta) \rightarrow \text{Mod} - (B, \delta) \\ Q \otimes_B - & : (B, \delta) - \text{Mod} \rightarrow (A, \delta) - \text{Mod} \end{aligned}$$

are equivalences of categories. They remain equivalences of categories when restricted to the subcategories of differential projective, resp. differential faithful projective modules.

(2) *P and Q are faithful and projective as differentiable A and B modules.*
 (3) *The maps f_P and g_P induce isomorphisms of differentiable bimodules*

$$\begin{aligned} P & \cong \text{Hom}_A(Q, A)_\delta, \quad Q \cong \text{Hom}_A(P, A)_\delta \\ P & \cong \text{Hom}_B(Q, B)_\delta, \quad Q \cong \text{Hom}_B(P, B)_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

(4) *The functor $\text{Hom}_A(P, -)_\delta : \text{Mod} - (A, \delta) \rightarrow (B, \delta) - \text{Mod}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Since all maps are differentiable in our setting, see ([4, I, Theorem 9.1.3]) for the proof for rings. $\square$

We use this theorem to generalize the Skolem-Noether theorem to differentiable rings. We state it in both the commutative ring and the differential commutative ring case, but the proof in the commutative case is essentially identical to the differential commutative case and so is omitted.

Theorem 2 (Differential Skolem-Noether Theorem). (1) *Let A be a commu-*

tative ring, P be a faithful projective A module of rank n , and let $B = \text{End}_A(P)$. If $\phi : \text{End}_A(P) \rightarrow \text{End}_A(P)$ is an automorphism, let P_ϕ denote the module P with B action via ϕ , i.e. $b \cdot p = \phi(b)p$. Then $L = \text{Hom}_B(P, P_\phi)$ is a rank 1 projective A module of order n in $\text{Pic}(A)$ which is, as an A module, isomorphic to A if P is free. If L is isomorphic to A , then there is a $u \in \text{Aut}(P)$ such that $\phi(b) = ubu^{-1}$.

(2) *Let A be a commutative ring with differentiation δ , P be a faithful projective differentiable A module of rank n , and let $B = \text{End}_A(P)_\delta$. If $\phi : \text{End}_A(P)_\delta \rightarrow \text{End}_A(P)_\delta$ is a differentiable automorphism, let $P_{\phi, \delta}$ denote the differential module P with B action via ϕ , i.e. $b \cdot p = \phi(b)p$. Then $L = \text{Hom}_B(P, P_{\phi, \delta})$ is a rank 1 projective differential A module of order n in $\text{Pic}_\delta(A)$ which is, as an A module, isomorphic to A if P is free. If L is isomorphic to A , then there is a $u \in \text{Aut}_A(P)$ such that $\phi(b) = ubu^{-1}$. If L is differentially isomorphic to A , then there is a $u \in \text{Aut}_{A, \delta}(P)$ such that $\phi(b) = ubu^{-1}$.*

Proof. The proof of the first part is identical to that of the second part except that there is no differentiability requirement. Hence the argument will be omitted.

According to the Differential Morita Theorem, we have, by composition, a differentiable isomorphism of B modules

$$P \otimes_A (Q \otimes_B P_\phi) \rightarrow P_\phi.$$

By the third statement of the Differential Morita Theorem, $\text{Hom}_B(P, B)_\delta \otimes_B P_\phi$ is differentially isomorphic to $Q \otimes_B P_\phi$. But P is a projective differential B module, and hence we can conclude that the natural map

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}_B(P, B)_\delta \otimes_B P_\phi \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(P, P_\phi)_\delta$$

given by $\alpha(f \otimes p)(p') = f(p')p$ is an isomorphism of differential A modules. P_ϕ is also a projective B module. This can be seen by localizing A so that $P = \oplus_1^n Ae_i$ with respect to a basis $\{e_i\}$. Then

$$B = (\oplus_1^n Ae_i) \otimes_A (\oplus_1^n Ae_i^\vee)$$

and so $B = \oplus_1^n P$ is a direct sum of left ideals each isomorphic to P . Note that P is even a differentiable summand if $\{e_i\}$ is a basis consisting of differentially constant elements since then $\delta(e_i^\vee) = 0$. Since $\phi : B \rightarrow B$ is a differential isomorphism, we also have B decomposing into a direct sum of left ideals each isomorphic to $P_{\phi, \delta}$. Hence L is a projective differential A module, and so $P \otimes_A L \rightarrow P_\phi$ is a differential isomorphism of left B modules.

Suppose L is differentially isomorphic to A . Then we have an isomorphism of differential B modules $u : P \rightarrow P_\phi$ which is in $\text{Aut}_{A, \delta}(P)$. Consequently, for any $b \in B$, we have a commutative diagram of differential maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{u} & P_\phi \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow \phi(b) \\ P & \xrightarrow{u} & P_\phi \end{array},$$

or $\phi(b) = ubu^{-1}$ as claimed.

Finally since $P \otimes_A L \cong P$ as an A module, we find that $\wedge^n(P \otimes_A L) = (\wedge^n P) \otimes_A L^{\otimes n} \cong \wedge^n P \in \text{Pic}(A)$. Consequently $L \in \text{Pic}(A)$ is an n torsion, rank one projective A module. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let A be a commutative ring and let δ be a derivation of $\text{End}(P)$. Then there is an element $z \in \text{End}(P)$ such that $\delta = [z, -]$.*

Proof. We sketch the proof. For more details, see [6, Theorem 4.1]. Let $A[\varepsilon]$ be the ring of dual numbers defined by $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Then an A derivation δ on Λ defines an $A[\varepsilon]$ algebra isomorphism $\Phi_\delta : \text{End}_A(P) \otimes A[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \text{End}_A(P) \otimes A[\varepsilon]$ by the rule $\Phi_\delta(\lambda + \lambda'\varepsilon) = \lambda + (\delta(\lambda) + \lambda')\varepsilon$. Φ_δ is then an automorphism such that $\Phi_\delta \otimes_{A[\varepsilon]} A = 1$. Consequently $L = \text{Hom}_{A[\varepsilon]}(P, P_{\Phi_\delta}) \in \text{Ker}[\text{Pic}(A[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A)] = 0$ by the nilpotent version of Nakayama's lemma. Thus $\Phi_\delta(\lambda) = u\lambda u^{-1}$ for some unit $u = u_0 + u_1\varepsilon \in \text{End}_A(P) \otimes A[\varepsilon]$. Since $\Phi_\delta \otimes_{A[\varepsilon]} A = 1$, u_0 is a unit in the center A . So we may assume $u_0 = 1$ by multiplying u by u_0^{-1} . But then, if $u = 1 + z\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + z\varepsilon)(\lambda + \lambda'\varepsilon)(1 - z\varepsilon) &= (\lambda + (z\lambda + \lambda')\varepsilon)(1 - z\varepsilon) = \lambda + (z\lambda + \lambda' - \lambda z)\varepsilon \\ &= \lambda + (\delta(\lambda) + \lambda')\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and so $\delta(\lambda) = [z, \lambda]$ as claimed. $\square$

Finally we need a criterion distinguishing between the two possibilities for L in the Differential Skolem Noether Theorem.

Lemma 3. *Let P be a differential projective A module, and let $\phi_u : \text{End}_A(P) \rightarrow \text{End}_A(P)$ be an algebra homomorphism such that $\phi_u(x) = uxu^{-1}$ for all $x \in \text{End}_A(P)$ where u is a unit in $\text{End}_A(P)$. Then ϕ_u is a differential homomorphism if and only if $u^{-1}(\delta u) \in A$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(uxu^{-1}) - u(\delta x)u^{-1} &= (\delta u)xu^{-1} + ux(\delta u^{-1}) \\ &= (\delta u)xu^{-1} - uxu^{-1}(\delta u)u^{-1} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $u^{-1}(\delta u)x = xu^{-1}(\delta u)$ for all $x \in \text{End}_A(P)$. $\square$

3. COHOMOLOGICAL INTERLUDE

The cohomological interpretation of $Br_\delta(A)$ depends on descent theory and non-abelian cohomology. The usual reference for the non-abelian cohomology is Giraud's work which introduces gerbes and other associated categorical machinery. However there is an alternative using hypercoverings that we will sketch in this section since it provides the boundary map associated to a central extension of sheaves of groups that is necessary to establish the embedding $Br(X) \hookrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. This approach is certainly known to those working with non-abelian sheaf cohomology, but as far as we know, it has not appeared in print.

We begin with a brief discussion of descent theory that leads to the Čech non-abelian H^1 . By now there are several references such as [5, I.2], [4, III], and [2, Part 1, 4.2.1] for descent theory. We will follow the last reference as it is the most recent and is quite clear. Basically we copy the material just as we did for the Differential Morita Theorem and then verify that the derivation aspect is preserved.

If A is a differential commutative ring, $\text{Mod}_{A,\delta}$ denotes the category of differential A modules. We fix a faithfully flat differential ring homomorphism, $A \rightarrow B$, and define the descent category $\text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B, \delta}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ob}(\text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B, \delta}) &= \left\{ (N, \phi) \mid \begin{array}{l} N \in \text{Mod}_{B, \delta} \text{ and } \phi : N \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes N \text{ is a differential} \\ B \otimes B \text{ isomorphism satisfying the cocycle condition} \end{array} \right\} \\ \text{Mor}(\text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B, \delta}) &= \left\{ \alpha : (N, \phi) \rightarrow (N', \phi') \mid \begin{array}{l} \alpha : N \rightarrow N' \in \text{Mod}_{B, \delta} \\ \text{and } B \otimes \alpha \circ \phi = \phi' \circ \alpha \otimes B \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the cocycle condition is the equality $\phi_{13} = \phi_{23}\phi_{12} : N \otimes B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B \otimes N$. The subscripts here indicate the factors that define $B \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes B \otimes B$, i.e. $\phi_{12}(n \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3) = \phi(n \otimes b_2) \otimes b_3$, etc. There is a natural functor $F : \text{Mod}_{A, \delta} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B, \delta}$ given by

$$F(M) = (M \otimes B, \text{can} : (M \otimes B) \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes (M \otimes B)).$$

Clearly the canonical map can will satisfy the cocycle condition and maps of A modules will respect the canonical maps. The affine differential descent theorem states that F defines an equivalence of categories (compare with [2, Theorem 4.21]).

Theorem 3. *If B is a faithfully flat differential extension of A , then the functor $F : \text{Mod}_{A,\delta} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B,\delta}$ is an equivalence of categories with an inverse functor $G : \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B,\delta} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{A,\delta}$ defined by $G((N, \phi)) = \{x \in N \mid \phi(1 \otimes x) = x \otimes 1\}$.*

Proof. Descent theory for rings as exposed in [2, Part 1, 4.2.1] establishes that F and G define equivalences of categories for modules. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a differential ring homomorphism and M is a differential A module, then $F(M) \in \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B,\delta}$, and if $(N, \phi) \in \text{Mod}_{A \rightarrow B,\delta}$, then the fact that ϕ is a differential $B \otimes B$ module isomorphism and an easy computation shows that $G((N, \phi))$ is closed under δ . $\square$

As an application of descent we can reprove and slightly extend Hochschild's result.

Corollary 3. *Let Λ be an Azumaya A algebra of rank n^2 . Then the derivation δ on A can be extended to an A derivation $\delta : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$.*

Proof. There is an étale covering $U = \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow X$ such that there is an algebra isomorphism $f : M_n(B) \rightarrow \Lambda$ defining a $B \otimes B$ algebra automorphism $\phi : M_n(B \otimes B) \rightarrow M_n(B \otimes B)$ such that $G((M_n(B \otimes B), \phi)) = \{x \in M_n(B) \mid \phi(1 \otimes x) = x \otimes 1\} = \Lambda$. Derivations extend uniquely to étale extensions and descent theory tells us that ϕ is constructed as the composite

$$\phi = 1 \otimes f \circ f \otimes 1 : M_n(B) \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes M_n(B).$$

By transport of structure we can use f to transfer coordinatewise differentiation from $M_n(B)$ to a derivation of $\Lambda \otimes B$ that extends δ on B to $\Lambda \otimes B$. But this makes ϕ a differential algebra isomorphism. Hence the coordinatewise derivation on $M_n(B)$ restricts to a derivation on $G((M_n(B \otimes B), \phi))$ that extends δ on A . $\square$

If we fix a differential A module M and wish to describe isomorphism classes of differential A modules M' such that $M \otimes B \cong_\delta M' \otimes B$, we are led, by the definition of morphisms in the differential descent category, to consider descent data ϕ' for M' and ϕ'' for M'' and a B module isomorphism $\alpha : M \otimes B \rightarrow M \otimes B$ such that $\phi'' = \alpha_2 \phi \alpha_1^{-1} : (M \otimes B) \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes (M \otimes B)$. Thus we define $Z^1(B/A, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M))$ as

$$\left\{ \phi : M \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes M \mid \begin{array}{l} \phi \text{ is a differential } B \otimes B \text{ module isomorphism} \\ \text{with } \phi_{13} = \phi_{23} \phi_{12} \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M)$ is the differential automorphism presheaf of M . We define an equivalence relation on $Z^1(B/A, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M))$ by $\phi' \sim \phi''$ if there is an α as above. Then we define

$$H^1(B/A, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M)) := Z^1(B/A, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M)) / \sim$$

which leads to the key statement:

$$\{M' \mid M' \otimes B \cong_\delta M \otimes B\} = H^1(B/A, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta(M)).$$

Remark 1. *Note that the functor G shows that if $M = \Lambda$, a differential A algebra, and we require ϕ' to be a differential algebra automorphism, then $\Lambda' = G(\Lambda \otimes B, \phi')$ is also a differential A algebra which becomes isomorphic, as differential algebras, to Λ over B .*

The differential descent theorem summarized above will be used to characterize differential Azumaya algebras. But, in order to characterize the differential Brauer group, we will need to construct the boundary map for non-abelian cohomology. Since surjections of sheaves are not necessarily surjections of presheaves, we will also need the definition and basic facts about hypercoverings. We adopt the now standard simplicial notation but refer to [1] for a more detailed discussion of hypercoverings.

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the ordinal category whose objects are $[0 < 1 < \dots < i]$, $0 \leq i < \infty$, and morphisms are monotone maps, and let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ denote the full subcategory whose objects are $[0 < 1 < \dots < i]$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category that is closed under difference kernels and finite products. Then $s\mathcal{C}$, the category of contravariant functors from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, denotes the category of simplicial objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and $s_n\mathcal{C}$, the category of contravariant functors from $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to $\mathcal{C}$, denotes the category of n truncated simplicial objects in $\mathcal{C}$. The restriction functor $i_{n*} : s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s_n\mathcal{C}$ which truncates a simplicial object beginning at degree $n+1$ has a right adjoint $i_n^! : s_n\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{C}$ defined by

$$(i_n^! X)_m = \varprojlim_{k \rightarrow m} X_k$$

where the limit is over all morphisms $k \rightarrow m$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ with $[0 < 1 < \dots < k] \rightarrow [0 < 1 < \dots < m]$ with $k \leq m$. $\text{Cosk}_n(X) = i_n^! i_{n*}(X)$. Basically the coskeleton functor gives a way of canonically extending a truncated simplicial object by introducing an m simplex whenever compatible n faces exist in the n truncated simplicial object. Thus, for instance, if we assume $\mathcal{C}$ has a final object X and we are given a 0 truncated simplicial object U , then $\text{Cosk}_0(U)_m = U \times_X \dots \times_X U$ with factors indexed by vertices $0, \dots, m$ and face maps $d_i = p_{01\dots\hat{i}\dots m} : \text{Cosk}_0(U)_m \rightarrow \text{Cosk}_0(U)_{m-1}$ where $p_{01\dots\hat{i}\dots m}$ indicates the projection map omitting the i^{th} factor.

Note that if X is a topological space and U is a disjoint union of open sets that cover X and F is a sheaf of abelian groups on X , then applying F to $\text{Cosk}_0(U)$ and setting $d^m = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i F(d_i)$ produces the chain complex

$$F(U) \xrightarrow{d^0} F(U \times_X U) \xrightarrow{d^1} F(U \times_X \dots \times_X U) \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{m-1}} F(U \times_X \dots \times_X U) \xrightarrow{d^m} \dots$$

whose homology, $\check{H}^m(U/X, F)$, is the Čech cohomology of F with respect to the covering.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a site with final object X , that is we suppose $\mathcal{C}$ has a Grothendieck topology on it. For simplicity, we assume the topology is defined from a pretopology given by Cov . Then

$$\check{H}^m(X, F) := \lim_{\overrightarrow{\text{Cov}(X)}} \check{H}^m(U/X, F).$$

Definition 3. A hypercovering U_* of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a simplicial object with values in $\mathcal{C}$ such that

- (surj₀) $U_0 \rightarrow X$ is a covering.
- (surj_n) $U_{n+1} \rightarrow (\text{Cosk}_n U)_n$ is a covering.

We define $\check{H}^m(U_*, F)$ for a hypercovering in a form similar to the above. Thus, for a presheaf F , $\check{H}^m(U_*, F)$ is the m^{th} homology of the augmented complex

$$F(X) \rightarrow F(U_0) \xrightarrow{d^0} F(U_1) \xrightarrow{d^1} F(U_2) \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{m-1}} F(U_m) \xrightarrow{d^m} \dots$$

where d^i is the alternating sum of F applied to the face maps of U . A map of hypercoverings $U \rightarrow V$ is a simplicial map, and if $\mathbb{H}(X)$ denotes the category of hypercoverings of X in $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathbb{H}(X)$ is a directed category. We define

$$\check{H}^m(X_{\mathbb{H}}, F) = \lim_{\mathbb{H}(X)^{op}} \check{H}^m(U, F).$$

One of Verdier's main results about hypercoverings, [1, Theorem 8.16], then shows that this group agrees with sheaf cohomology if F is an abelian sheaf. Note, however, that Čech cohomology, $\lim_{Cov(X)} \check{H}^m(U, F)$, agrees with $\lim_{\mathbb{H}(X)^{op}} \check{H}^m(U, F)$ for $m = 0$ or 1 .

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a site that is closed under finite products, fibred products and finite coproducts. Let F be an abelian sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\check{H}^m(X, F) \cong H^m(X, F)$.*

Essentially the proof follows by observing that given an n truncated hypercovering U and a covering refinement $\phi : V \rightarrow U_n$, then $i_n^!(V)$ is a hypercovering where $V_k = U_k$ for $k < n$ and $V_n = V$ with face operators defined by composing ϕ with the face operators of U_n . We only need the case $n = 1$ to define a boundary map for non-abelian cohomology. This will then provide a cohomological interpretation of the differential Brauer group.

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a site that is closed under finite products, fibred products and finite coproducts with final object X . Let*

$$0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{j} H \rightarrow 1$$

be a central extension of sheaves of groups for the site. Then there are natural boundary maps $\partial^1 : H^0(X, H) \rightarrow H^1(X, C)$ and $\partial^2 : \check{H}^1(X, H) \rightarrow H^2(X, C)$ such that the sequence of groups and pointed sets

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^0(X, C) \rightarrow H^0(X, G) \rightarrow H^0(X, H) \xrightarrow{\partial^1} H^1(X, C) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, G) \\ &\rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, H) \xrightarrow{\partial^2} H^2(X, C) \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Proof. This is a straightforward computation except for the definition of ∂^2 and the exactness of pointed sets at $H^1(X, H)$. Suppose $x \in \check{H}^1(X, G)$ is represented by a cocycle $c_U \in H(U \times_X U)$ for a cover $U \rightarrow X$. Then there is a covering refinement $V \rightarrow U \times_X U$ generating $i_1^! V$ as above and a lift of $c_U|_{i_1^! V_1}$ to $\ell(c_U) \in G(i_1^! V_1)$ such that $j(\ell(c_U)) = c_U$, and we define $\partial(c_U)$ by

$$i(\partial(c_U)) := (\ell(c_U))_{13}^{-1} (\ell(c_U))_{23} (\ell(c_U))_{12} \in \text{Ker} [\Gamma(i_1^! V_2, G) \rightarrow \Gamma(i_1^! V_2, H)].$$

Here the subscripts indicate that the face operator of the simplicial covering used is the missing index. Altering the lift of c_U alters $\partial(c_U) \in \Gamma(i_1^! V_2, C)$ by a coboundary since C is central in G . Replacing c_U with a cohomologous cocycle, $c'_U = \alpha_2 c_U \alpha_1^{-1}$, is dealt with by refining the Čech covering until we can replace $\ell(c_U)$ with a cohomologous lift using $\beta \in \Gamma(U'_0, G)$ where β maps to the restriction of α to U'_0 . Then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta_2 \ell(c_U) \beta_1^{-1})_{13}^{-1} (\beta_2 \ell(c_U) \beta_1^{-1})_{23} (\beta_2 \ell(c_U) \beta_1^{-1})_{12} \\ &= (\beta_1)_{13} (\ell(c_U))_{13}^{-1} (\ell(c_U))_{23} (\ell(c_U))_{12} (\beta_1^{-1})_{12} \end{aligned}$$

since, in our notation showing the factors of the face maps,

$$(\beta_2)_{13}^{-1} (\beta_2)_{23} = (\beta_1)_{23}^{-1} (\beta_2)_{12} = 1.$$

But $i(\partial(c_U))$ is in the center of $\Gamma(i_1^! V_2, G)$ and so the final pair $(\beta_1)_{13} (\beta_1^{-1})_{12} = 1$. A similar computation shows that $\partial(c_U) \in Z^2(X, i_1^! V)$ and so defines an element $[\partial(c_U)] \in H^2(X, C)$. Finally exactness follows easily since $[\partial(c_U)] = 0$ says that for some hypercovering U' of X (which we may assume maps to $i_1^! V_2$), the restriction to U'_2 of $\partial(c_U) = d^1(u)$ for some $u \in C(U'_1)$. But then multiplying the restriction of $\ell(c_U)$ by $i(u^{-1})$ produces a cocycle in $Z^1(U'_1, G)$ that maps to the restriction of c_U to $\Gamma(U'_1, H)$. The other part is clear. $\square$

4. COHOMOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION

We introduce the δ -flat topology in order to apply this material in a differential setting. Picard-Vessiot extensions were the key tool used in [3] to analyze automorphisms of differential central simple algebras. The no new constant requirement makes constructing such extensions in the differential ring setting difficult. However what was really used in their work was the existence of solutions to certain linear differential equations and that we can achieve locally with the δ -flat topology on a differential ring. In order to match the notation in Milne [5], our basic reference for this material, we let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ be a differential affine scheme with respect to a vector field denoted by δ for the remainder of this note.

Let $\mathcal{C}_\delta(X)$ denote the category of differential affine schemes over X and differential maps. The δ -flat topology on X is the topology defined by the class of morphisms

$E =$ all flat differential morphisms that are locally of finite type.

Thus if $U \in \mathcal{C}_\delta(X)$, a covering of U is a finite family $\{U_i \xrightarrow{g_i} U\}_{i \in I}$ such that U_i is flat and locally of finite type over U , g_i is a differentiable map, and $U = \cup_{i \in I} g_i(U_i)$. We can and will replace $\{U_i \xrightarrow{g_i} U\}_{i \in I}$ with a single map $U' := \bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow U$ to match the notation above. Technically we have defined a pre-topology and should not require the covering family to be finite.. But this defines a unique topology on X and is more convenient to work with. We should also note that this pretopology should have been defined on the category of all differential schemes over X , not just affine ones. However we have lost nothing by restricting to affine covers as the argument in [5, Chapter II, Proposition 1.5] shows. We denote this site by $X_{\delta\text{-fl}}$.

Examples of sheaves for this topology are easy to find since coverings in the δ -flat topology are coverings in the flat topology. Consequently any sheaf for the flat topology restricts to a sheaf on $X_{\delta\text{-fl}}$. In particular any A module M defines a sheaf on $X_{\delta\text{-fl}}$ which we denote $W(M)$ as in [5, II, Example 1.2 d)] by setting $\Gamma(U, W(M)) = M \otimes B$ if $U = \text{Spec}(B)$. An alternative way of constructing δ -flat sheaves is to take the subsheaf of differential constants of a sheaf of groups F with a δ action since kernels preserve equalizers. Here are some examples and the notation we will use.

- $\mathbb{G}_{m,\delta} := \text{Aut}_\delta W(A)$ where (A, δ) is regarded as a free A module with coordinatewise differentiation. Note that differential automorphisms of the free

rank one module with a constant differential basis are given by multiplication by a differentially constant unit. Hence $\mathbb{G}_{m,\delta} = \mathbb{G}_m^\delta$.

- $\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta} := \text{Aut}_\delta \left(W \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ae_i \right) \right)$ where $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ae_i$ is a free module with coordinatewise differentiation, i.e. $\delta e_i = 0$ for all i . We indicate this module as $\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A, ' \right)$. Note that differential automorphisms of this module with differential basis $\{e_i\}$ are given by multiplication by a differentially constant invertible matrix of rank n since each constant basis vector must be sent to a differentially constant column vector. Hence $\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta} = \mathbb{G}l_n^\delta$.
- $P\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta} := \text{Aut}_\delta ((M_n, '))$ where $(M_n, ')$ denotes the sheaf of $n \times n$ matrices with coordinatewise differentiation.

These automorphism sheaves allow us to give a cohomological interpretation of $Az_{n,\delta}$ and $\text{Proj}_{n,\delta}$. For this we will need the following lemma.

Proposition 1. *Let (A, δ) be a differential ring. Then*

- (1) $H^1(A_{\delta\text{-}fl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta}) = \text{Pic}_\delta(A)$,
- (2) $H^1(A_{\delta\text{-}fl}, \mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta}) = \text{Proj}_{n,\delta}(A)$,
- (3) $H^1(A_{\delta\text{-}fl}, P\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta}) = Az_{n,\delta}(A)$.

Proof. The result follows from δ -flat descent theory once we have shown in each claim that any differential object of the correct rank can be made differentially isomorphic to the model by a covering in the δ -flat topology. The first claim is a special case of the second to which we now turn. Let P be a finitely generated, projective differential sheaf of rank n . Then there is a Zariski covering $U = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow$

X such that $P|_U \cong \bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{O}_U^n e_i$ where $U = \text{Spec}(A')$ is a differential affine scheme over X . Fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for P , and let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix whose columns represent this basis. (We write $e_1, \dots, e_n$ instead of the more usual $1, \dots, 1$ since δe_j may be non-zero.). Then $(\delta E)E$ has j^{th} column δe_j expanded in terms of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Let $t = (t_{ij})$ be a matrix of variables so that the columns of

$$tE$$

represent another basis written in terms of E if t is invertible. Then

$$\delta(tE) = \delta(t)E + t\delta(E)E = \vec{0}$$

is the system of linear differential equations that we must solve. We let $V = \text{Spec}(A'')$ where $A'' = A' \left[T_{ij}, \det(t_{ij})^{-1} \right]$ is the differential ring in n^2 indeterminates T_{ij} with derivation δ defined by $(\delta T_{ij}) = -(T_{ij})(\delta E)$. Then $A' \rightarrow A''$ is a differential ring homomorphism and $P \otimes_{A'} A''$ has a basis over A'' consisting of the column vectors in $(T_{i,j})E$, which is a basis of differential constants.

Finally if $\Lambda \in Az_{n,\delta}(A)$, there is an étale covering $U = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow X$ where $U = \text{Spec}(A')$ such that $\Lambda \otimes A' \cong M_n(A')$. Since derivations extend uniquely to étale coverings, the covering map is a map of differential schemes. This reduces the

assertion to showing that, locally for the δ -flat topology, the differential Azumaya algebra, $(M_n(A'), \delta)$ is isomorphic as a differential algebra to $(M_n(A'), ')$. But if δ is not coordinate wise differentiation, then $\delta - '$ is a derivation of $M_n(A')$ that extends the zero derivation on A' . Hence, by the Corollary 2, there is a $z \in M_n(A')$ such that $\delta = ' + [z, -]$. In order to make the z unique we require that its trace be 0. We must show that there is a differential algebra isomorphism $\phi : (M_n(A'), \delta) \rightarrow (M_n(A'), ')$. Locally any such algebra automorphism, ϕ_u , is given by automorphism with a unit $u \in M_n(A')$. But ϕ_u is a differential isomorphism if and only if

$$0 = (uxu^{-1})' - u(\delta x)u^{-1} = u'xu^{-1} - uxu^{-1}u'u^{-1} - u(zx - xz)u^{-1},$$

and this will hold if and only if $u^{-1}u' - z \in A'$ where $z = (z_{ij}) \in M_n(A')$. (Note that the choice of u defining ϕ_u is not unique, but it is unique up to a unit from A' and this alters $u^{-1}u'$ by an element of A' .) So we let $A'' = A'[U_{ij}, \det((U_{ij}))^{-1}]$ where U_{ij} are indeterminates with δ extended to A'' as $(U_{ij})' = (z_{ij})(U_{ij})$. Then there is a differential algebra isomorphism ϕ_u which defines an isomorphism over A'' between $(M_n(A''), \delta)$ and $(M_n(A''), ')$. $\square$

The central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}l_n \rightarrow P\mathbb{G}l_n \rightarrow 1,$$

is used to construct the embedding $Br(A) \subset H^2(A_{fl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. (We use a 0 if the corresponding group is abelian; otherwise we use a 1 as above.) We establish the corresponding exact sequence of sheaves on $X_{\delta-fl}$.

Theorem 6. *Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ be an affine scheme with a derivation δ on A .*

(1) *There is an exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups on $X_{\delta-fl}$:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{d\ln} W(A) \rightarrow 0$$

(2) *There is a central extension of sheaves of groups on $X_{\delta-fl}$:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta} \rightarrow P\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta} \rightarrow 1$$

Proof. 1): The map $d\ln$ is defined by $d\ln(u) = (\delta u)/u$ for $u \in \Gamma(\text{Spec}(B), \mathbb{G}_m)$. The logarithmic derivative map is additive and has kernel $\mathbb{G}_{m,\delta}$, and so the only question is surjectivity. If $b \in \Gamma(\text{Spec}(B), W(A)) = B$, then the linear differential equation $(\delta x) = bx$ has a solution in the differential extension $B[U]$ where U is an indeterminate and differentiation is defined by $\delta U = bU$. The differentiation extends to define a differential B algebra $B_1 := B[U, U^{-1}]$ which will be a δ -flat cover of B and $d\ln(U) = b$ in B_1 . Consequently the homomorphism of sheaves $d\ln$ is surjective locally.

2): By definition $P\mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta}$ is the δ -flat automorphism sheaf of $(M_n, ')$. Thus it suffices to show that for any U and $\phi \in \Gamma(U, \underline{\text{Aut}}_\delta((M_n, ')))$, there is a δ -flat covering $U' \rightarrow U$ and $u \in \Gamma(U', \mathbb{G}l_{n,\delta})$ such that $\phi|_{U'}$ is given by conjugation by u . The Differential Skolem Noether Theorem states that this will happen if $L = \text{Hom}_B\left(\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{U'}e_i, '\right), \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{U'}e_i, '\right)\right)_{\phi, \delta}$ is differentially isomorphic to $(A_{U'}, ')$ on U' where, for simplicity, we have written $A_{U'}$ for $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'})$. First choose a Zariski covering $U' \rightarrow U$ which makes $L \cong A_{U'}$ on U' but not necessarily differentially

isomorphic. Hence $\phi(x) = u x u^{-1}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{G}_n(A_{U'})$ and any $x \in M_n(A_{U'})$. Now, by Lemma 3, we must have

$$u^{-1}(\delta u) = a$$

for some $a \in A_{U'}$. Finally find a covering $U'' \rightarrow U$ such that the differential equation $\delta X = -aX$ has, as a solution, a unit $b \in A_{U''}$. Then, on U'' , $\phi(x) = (bu)x(bu)^{-1}$ and $\delta(bu) = 0$. Consequently $bu \in Gl_{n,\delta}(A_{U''})$. $\square$

Now we are in a position to put everything together. We begin by constructing an embedding of $Br_\delta(X) \hookrightarrow H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

Proposition 2. *Let A be a connected, commutative differential ring. Let $\partial_n^2 : Az_{n,\delta}(A) \rightarrow H^2(A_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta})$ be the boundary map of Theorem 5. If $\Lambda \in Az_{m,\delta}$ and $\Gamma \in Az_{n,\delta}$, then*

$$\partial_{mn}^2(\Lambda \otimes \Gamma) = \partial_m^2(\Lambda) + \partial_n^2(\Gamma) \in H^2(A_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta})$$

and $\partial_m^2(\Lambda^{op}) = -\partial_m^2(\Lambda)$.

Proof. If Λ and Γ are represented by 1 cocycles $c_\Lambda \in \Gamma(U', P\mathbb{G}_m)$ and $c_\Gamma \in \Gamma(U'', P\mathbb{G}_n)$ respectively, then, choosing a cover U such that $U \rightarrow U'$ and $U \rightarrow U''$, $\Lambda \otimes \Gamma$ is represented by $c_\Lambda \mid \otimes c_\Gamma \mid \in \Gamma(U, P\mathbb{G}_{mn})$ and so, in the notation of Theorem 5, $\ell(c_{\Lambda,U}) \otimes \ell(c_{\Gamma,U})$ is a lift of $c_{\Lambda \otimes \Gamma, U} \in \Gamma(U \times U, P\mathbb{G}_{mn})$. Since the tensor factors in $\mathbb{G}_m \otimes \mathbb{G}_n = \mathbb{G}_{mn}$ commute, $\partial_{mn}^2(\Lambda \otimes \Gamma) = \partial_m^2(\Lambda) + \partial_n^2(\Gamma)$. Since $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{op} \cong \text{End}_{A,\delta}(\Lambda)$, $\partial_{m^2}^2(\Lambda \otimes \Lambda^{op}) = 0$. $\square$

Thus the exact sequence of pointed sets in Theorem 5 together with this Proposition allow us to define a homomorphism

$$\partial^2 : \lim_{\rightarrow M|N} Az_{M,\delta}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta})$$

whose kernel is $\lim_{\rightarrow M|N} \text{Proj}_{M,\delta}(X)$ since P corresponds to $\text{End}(P)$. This defines a one-to-one group homomorphism $\partial : Br_\delta(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and so $Br_\delta(X) \subseteq H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. We are now in a position to reap the benefits of our work.

Theorem 7. *Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ where A is a connected, commutative differential ring. Then $Br_\delta(X)$ is torsion and $\partial : Br_\delta(X) \rightarrow {}_{\text{tors}}H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is an isomorphism. The map that forgets the differentiation induces an isomorphism $Br_\delta(A) \rightarrow Br(A)$.*

Proof. The long exact sequence of cohomology associated to the short exact sequence of coefficient sheaves $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{d\ln} W(A) \rightarrow 0$ is, in part

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(X_{\delta-pl}, W(A)) & \rightarrow & H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_{m,\delta}) & \rightarrow & H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \rightarrow & H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, W(A)) \\ & & \cup & & \cup & & \\ & & Br_\delta(X) & \rightarrow & Br(X) & & \end{array}$$

where the vertical inclusions come from the boundary map. There is a morphism of sites given by the identity map on X , $f : X_{pl} \rightarrow X_{\delta-pl}$ since any δ -flat covering is a flat covering, and we have $H^i(X_{\delta-pl}, f_*\mathcal{F}') \rightarrow H^i(X_{pl}, \mathcal{F}')$ is an isomorphism for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}'$ on X_{pl} . (See [5, III, Proposition 3.1]). This shows that $H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong H^2(X_{pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ since $f_*\mathbb{G}_m$ is the sheaf of units on $X_{\delta-pl}$ and similarly that $H^1(X_{\delta-pl}, W(A)) \cong H^1(X_{pl}, W(A))$. But ([5, III, Proposition 3.7]) $H^1(X_{pl}, W(A)) \cong H^1(X_{Zar}, W(A)) = 0$ for $i > 0$ since X is affine. It is well

known that $Br(X)$ is isomorphic to the torsion in $H^2(X_{pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Finally if Λ is an Azumaya algebra on X , then δ can be extended to a derivation on Λ by Corollary 3 and so the bottom map in the diagram is surjective as well as injective. $\square$

The key to this result was the vanishing of coherent sheaf cohomology on affine varieties. While everything has been constructed for this case, it is clear that all of the basics extend to a variety with a vector field. As an example consider an abelian variety X of dimension g over a characteristic zero field k . Then

$\Omega_{X/k}^1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathcal{O}_X$ and so we can define a global vector field v on X by choosing a global homomorphism $\phi_V : \Omega_{X/k}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. In this case, just as in the theorem, $H^i(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^i(X_{pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ for all i and $H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong Br(X)$ since X is smooth. It's easy to see that $Br_\delta(X)$ is torsion (since ∂ factors through $H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mu_\infty)$). The long exact cohomology sequence then becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow Pic(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow Br(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

The last map is surjective since $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a k vector space and so torsion free but $Pic(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^g k$ can't be surjective and, generally this will contribute a torsion free part to $H^2(X_{\delta-pl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. We plan on discussing the non-affine case in more detail in a future paper.

REFERENCES

1. M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Etale homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 100, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, Reprint of the 1969 original. MR MR883959 (88a:14024)
2. Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven L. Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, Grothendieck's FGA explained. MR MR2222646 (2007f:14001)
3. Lourdes Juan and Andy R. Magid, *Differential central simple algebras and Picard-Vessiot representations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 6, 1911–1918. MR MR2383496 (2008m:12014)
4. Max-Albert Knus, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 294, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, With a foreword by I. Bertuccioni. MR MR1096299 (92i:11039)
5. James S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980. MR MR559531 (81j:14002)
6. A. Roy and R. Sridharan, *Derivations in Azumaya algebras*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **7** (1967), 161–167. MR MR0222073 (36 #5125)

Current address: Department of Mathematics, City College of New York, 10031

E-mail address: rhoobler@ccny.cuny.edu